

#### STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL BOARD OF REVIEW P.O. Box 1247 Martinsburg, WV 25402

Earl Ray Tomblin Governor Karen L. Bowling Cabinet Secretary

	June 2, 2015
RE:	v. WV DHHR
	ACTION NO.: 15-BOR-1935

Dear

Enclosed is a copy of the decision resulting from the hearing held in the above-referenced matter.

In arriving at a decision, the State Hearing Officer is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of West Virginia and the rules and regulations established by the Department of Health and Human Resources. These same laws and regulations are used in all cases to assure that all persons are treated alike.

You will find attached an explanation of possible actions you may take if you disagree with the decision reached in this matter.

Sincerely,

Lori Woodward State Hearing Official Member, State Board of Review

- Encl: Appellant's Recourse to Hearing Decision Form IG-BR-29
- cc: Peter VanKleeck, ESS

## WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES BOARD OF REVIEW

## Appellant,

v.

### **ACTION NO: 15-BOR-1935**

## WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES,

#### **Respondent.**

# **DECISION OF STATE HEARING OFFICER**

## **INTRODUCTION**

This is the decision of the State Hearing Officer resulting from a fair hearing for **the state of the state o** 

The matter before the Hearing Officer arises from the April 17, 2015 decision by the Respondent to close Appellant's Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits.

At the hearing, the Respondent appeared by Peter VanKleeck, Economic Service Supervisor. The Appellant appeared *pro se*. All witnesses were sworn and the following documents were admitted into evidence.

#### **Department's Exhibits:**

- D-1 Summary
- D-2 CSLE Review form, dated March 16, 2015
- D-3 Notification letter (EDC1), dated April 17, 2015
- D-4 WV Income Maintenance Manual (IMM) §1.2.B.2

After a review of the record, including testimony, exhibits, and stipulations admitted into evidence at the hearing, and after assessing the credibility of all witnesses and weighing the evidence in consideration of the same, the Hearing Officer sets forth the following Findings of Fact.

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

- 1) The Appellant was a recipient of SNAP benefits. A review form for re-certification of her SNAP benefits was sent to her on March 16, 2015. (Exhibit D-1)
- 2) On April 17, 2015, the Appellant was sent notification that because she did not return the completed review form and register with WorkForce, her SNAP benefits were being terminated at the end of April. (Exhibit D-2)
- Upon receipt of the closure notice, the Appellant contacted the Department. She spoke with the Department representative, Mr. VanKleeck, sometime before the end of April. He explained to the Appellant she needed to register with WorkForce and complete her SNAP review.
- 4) The Appellant did register with WorkForce, however, she failed to complete her SNAP review. This is undisputed.

## **APPLICABLE POLICY**

West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual (IMM) §1.2.B.2, explains that periodic reviews of total eligibility for recipients are mandated by law and take place at specific intervals. The redetermination process involves basically the same activities as the application process. The application may be held, pending receipt of necessary information or verification, but there are processing time limits which must be met. Failure by the client to complete a redetermination usually results in ineligibility.

#### DISCUSSION

The Appellant needed to complete and return her SNAP review form before the end of April 2015. She failed to do this. The Appellant did not contest the fact that she failed to return her SNAP review form. She stated that she never received the SNAP review form in the mail, citing many issues with mail delivery. However, she did receive notice of closure that was sent to the same address as the review form. The Appellant also did not contest that she was informed by Mr. VanKleeck that she needed to complete a review form in order to be evaluated for recertification of her SNAP benefits or that she needed to register with WorkForce. She did register with WorkForce, however she never completed her SNAP review form.

As the Appellant failed to complete a SNAP review form necessary for evaluation for recertification of her SNAP benefits, the Department acted correctly in closing the Appellant's SNAP benefits.

### **CONCLUSION OF LAW**

Whereas, the Appellant failed to complete her periodic SNAP review, the Department acted correctly in closing her SNAP benefits.

# **DECISION**

It is the decision of the State Hearing Officer to **uphold** the Department's decision to close Appellant's Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits.

ENTERED this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of June 2015.

Lori Woodward, State Hearing Official